

#### DFT Study of the Effect of 3*d* and 4*d* Transition Metals on NaMgH<sub>3</sub> Complex Metal Hydride for Hydrogen Storage Applications

Fernando Soto

Advisor : Dr. Daniela S. Mainardi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chemical Engineering Louisiana Tech University



#### > <u>Methodology</u>

- Bulk Model
- Surface Model

#### Results

- Geometry Optimization
- DFT-Molecular Dynamics
- Conclusions
- Acknowledgements



- Goal : Improve ability to design materials for hydrogen storage/explore wide range of promising hydrogen storage systems
- Method : First-principles investigation of effect of catalytic additives in structural dynamics of bulk and surface models in Complex Metal Hydrides (CMHs)



# Hydrogen Storage





#### **Research Opportunity**

Higher H mobility in this material due to their perovskite structure cause improved hydrogenation rates compared to pure MgH<sub>2</sub>
 These structures may enhance kinetics and reversibility and inhibit toxic gas side products compared to borohydride, amide, and alanate compounds











- First principles calculations done with Density Functional Theory (DFT)
  - Generalized gradient approximation (GGA)
    - PW91 for Geometry Optimization
    - PBE for Single-Point Energy Calculations
  - All the simulations successfully completed are performed using the plane wave pseudo-potential implementation of DFT as applied in the CASTEP® module of the Materials Studio® suite from Accelrys
  - DFT coupled MD is used to study the behavior of the system in canonical and microcanonical ensembles at high temperatures (423 K & 448 K)
    - NVT and NVE Ensembles
    - Gamma K-pt sampling









Transition Metal at Na site

Transition Metal at hollow, Mg, and bridge site

# **Pure Crystal Structure**



Overview

Introduction

🛧 Results

Methods

ts Conclusions

#### Structural and Electronic Properties of Pure Crystal Structure

- Ground State Structure
  - Geometry optimization performed for the orthorhombic structure using (PW91/USPP/400 eV)
  - Convergence check with respect to kinetic energy cutoff and k-point mesh
  - Lattice parameters, bond lengths and angles in agreement with experimental results.  $\Delta$  Volume = <0.20%



 $NaMgH_3$  Optimized Cell, consisting of 2 f. u.

| Lattice Constant | Lattice Parameter (Å)<br>Experimental | DFT-PW91 Results |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| а                | 5.463                                 | 5.48             |
| b                | 7.703                                 | 7.68             |
| C                | 5.411                                 | 5.40             |

# **Pure Crystal Structure**



8

5 6



-5

-4

-3 -2

0

1 2 3

Energy (eV)

-1

-8

G

z

Т

Y

s

Х

Ш

R



> Where X : Na, Sc, Ti, V, Mn, Fe, etc

Elongation and possible weakening of Mg-H bond when Ti, V, Mn, Fe, and Co substitutes Na at Na site



$$E_{\text{cohesive}} = E_{\text{total system}} - \sum E_{\text{free neutral atoms}}$$

➤The higher the cohesive energy in crystalline element, the higher the energy in bulk model.





## Bulk Dehydrogenation Energy Comparison (Ti/Zn)



| Overview | Introduction | Methods | ☆ Results                                  | Conclusions | Acknowledgements |
|----------|--------------|---------|--|-------------|------------------|
|          | Model        |         | Dehydrogenation Energy (eV)/H <sub>2</sub> |             |                  |
|          | Pure         |         | 0.00*                                      |             |                  |
|          | Zn-doped     |         | -1.31*                                     |             |                  |
|          | Ti-doped     |         | -1.38.                                     |             |                  |

\*Energy relative to Pure Model

 $\Delta E = E(X)Na_{15}Mg_{16}H_{48} - (E(X)Na_{15}Mg_{16} + 24EH_2)$ 

### Surface Density Difference Map (Ti-doped)





(View parallel to x and y axis)

Loss of electrons is indicated in blue, while regions rich in electrons are indicated in red. White colors indicate regions with small changes in the electron density

(Side View)

### Surface Density Difference Map (Ti-doped)





Blue region in Ti-doped model is more intense.

Signaling greater depletion of electrons to surroundings (Side View)



 $\succ$  For the 4d block, all the models show a high positive cohesive energy with respect to the pure model >Models are stable, formation of alloys is possible

➤Tc has a C.E. 2.5 times greater than Ti



# (001) Surface Energy



| Verview | Introduction Met              | hods 🛛 🛧 Res                                      | ults                     | Conclusions                     | Acknowledgements |
|---------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
|         | Site                          | System  | E <sub>coh</sub><br>(eV) | ∆E <sub>add</sub> (eV/<br>atom) | -                |
|         | Ti@ Na site                   | TiNa7Mg8H24                                       | 4.60                     | -                               | -                |
|         | Ti @ Hollow Site              | TiNa <sub>8</sub> Mg <sub>8</sub> H <sub>24</sub> | 5.47                     | 0.13                            |                  |
|         | Ti@ Top Na site               | TiNa <sub>8</sub> Mg <sub>8</sub> H <sub>24</sub> | 3.22                     | 0.08                            |                  |
|         | Ti@ Top Hollow<br>Site        | TiNa <sub>8</sub> Mg <sub>8</sub> H <sub>24</sub> | 3.22                     | 0.08                            |                  |
|         | Ti@ Mg Site                   | TiNa <sub>8</sub> Mg <sub>7</sub> H <sub>24</sub> | 4.23                     | 0.11                            |                  |
|         | H removed from<br>Ti@ Na site | TiNa7Mg8H23                                       | 3.05                     | -                               |                  |
|         | H removed from<br>Pure model  | $Na_8Mg_8H_{23}$                                  | -3.46                    | -                               | _                |

\*\* Cohesive energy is relative to pure model
Most favorable models : Ti @ Na site and Ti @ Hollow site
Ti@ Top Hollow site and Ti @ Top Na site have same cohesive energy
Ti@ Mg site is more favorable than Top Hollow and Top Na site
More favorable to remove hydrogen from Doped model



 $TiMg_4H_6$ Avg Bond Length (Å) : Ti-H = 1.90 Ti-Mg = 3.04



 $TiMg_5H_7$ Avg Bond Length (Å) : Ti-H = 1.93 Ti-Mg = 2.94







#### DFT-MD @ Na Site







Cohesive Energy may be useful physical quantity for evaluating hydrogen desorption ability

High Ionization energy of RHS dopants is responsible for thermal stability

Site preference of dopants determined by cohesive energy, atomic size and ionization energy

>Ti-Mg<sub>x</sub>H<sub>x</sub> complexes are observed after geometry optimization of doped-surface models

> DFT coupled MD simulations show the existence of Ti-Mg<sub>x</sub>H<sub>x</sub>.

Substitution and addition energy of surface models show that Ti @ Hollow site and Ti @ Na lattice site have almost equal energies
 Ti-doping helps increase hydrogen mobility (hopping)
 Hydrogen mobility is not rate-limiting process in this system



#### Future Work : Synergistic Effect of TMs as Co-Dopants



| Overview | Introduction | Methods               | 🛧 Results                | Conclusions   | Acknowledgement   |
|----------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
|          |              | } Sur<br>} Su<br>} Bu | face<br>b-Surface<br>ulk | <ul> <li>Study this seas co-dopant</li> <li>Study Hydroffrom surface waand Zn at the Bahell model)</li> <li>Determine the step in hydroga from surface</li> <li>Molecular Damodel and oth as C</li> </ul> | ystem with Zn<br>ogen Desorption<br>vith Ti at surface<br>bulk site (Core-<br>he rate-limiting<br>en desorption |



## Acknowledgements





#### **Computational Support provided by**





The Louisiana Optical Network Initiative (LONI)







Louisiana Board of Regents, Contract LEQSF(2007-08)-ENH-TR-46

Louisiana Tech University, Student Technology Fee Board grant 2007

